

2007 AMAO Determinations		AYP		Progress		Attainment		Did the district make all 3 AMAO targets for 2007?
Definition		Calculations pulled directly from LEP subgroup at the district level on the ISAT.		% of LEP students in the district who must advance one level of language proficiency on the IELA.		% of LEP students in the district who must attain proficiency on the IELA. Proficiency = Fluent overall AND EF+ (Early Fluent and above) on each sub domain.		
Targets		78% Reading 70% Math 78% Language Usage		55%		20%		
State Results	Idaho	NO	Reading - 49.58% Math - 51.58%	NO	43.70%	YES	23.21%	NO
District No.	District							
1	Boise	NO	Reading - 54.01% Math - 55.48%	NO	45.10%	YES	20.13%	NO
2	Meridian	NO	Reading - 59.08% Math - 60.25%	NO	53.40%	YES	29.16%	NO
11	Meadows Valley	NA - less than 10						NA*
13	Council	No LEP students						no LEP
21	Marsh Valley	NA - less than 10						NA*
33	Bear Lake	NA - less than 10						NA*
41	St. Maries	No LEP students						no LEP
44	Plummer-Worley	No LEP students						no LEP
52	Snake River	NO	Reading - 53.49% Math - 48.84%	NO	45.30%	YES	28.92%	NO
55	Blackfoot	NO	Reading - 43.93% Math - 35.02%	NO	36.40%	NO	18.52%	NO
58	Aberdeen	NO	Reading - 38.51% Math - 43.92%	NO	44.20%	YES	29.47%	NO
59	Firth	YES*	less than 34	YES	69.20%	YES	33.33%	YES
60	Shelley	NO	Reading - 63.16% Math - 51.32%	NO	35.40%	YES	24.28%	NO
61	Blaine	NO	Reading - 57.72% Math - 55.92%	NO	50.80%	NO	16.57%	NO
71	Garden Valley	NA- less than 10						NA*
72	Basin	No LEP students						no LEP
73	Horseshoe Bend	NA - less than 10						NA*
83	West Bonner	No LEP students						no LEP
84	Lake Pend Orielle	NA - less than 10						NA*
91	Idaho Falls	NO	Reading - 57.77% Math - 57.66%	NO	38.60%	YES	20.87%	NO
92	Swan Valley	No LEP students						no LEP
93	Bonneville	NO	Reading - 31.25% Math - 41.67%	NO	43.20%	NO	15.47%	NO
101	Boundary	YES*	less than 34	NO	44.40%	NO	17.39%	NO
111	Butte Co.	NA - less than 10						NA*
121	Camas Co.	No LEP students						no LEP
131	Nampa	NO	Reading - 47.13% Math - 51.11%	NO	39.90%	NO	10.47%	NO
132	Caldwell	NO	Reading - 42.1% Math - 47.89%	NO	51.30%	YES	21.83%	NO
133	Wilder	NO	Reading - 63.33% Math - 62.2%	NO	51.30%	YES	28.64%	NO
137	Parma	NO	Reading - 52.76% Math - 32.89%	NO	37.20%	NO	11.45%	NO
139	Vallivue	NO	Math - 42.19%	NO	41.80%	NO	14.71%	NO
148	Grace	YES*	less than 34	NO	33.30%	YES	23.53%	NO
149	North Gem	NA - less than 10						NA*
150	Soda Springs	No LEP students						no LEP
151	Cassia Co.	NO	Reading - 47.81% Math - 54.15%	NO	39.30%	YES	20.90%	NO
161	Clark Co.	YES*	less than 34	NO	42.90%	NO	4.48%	NO
171	Orofino	NA - less than 10						NA*
181	Challis	NA - less than 10		NO	50%	NO	12.50%	NO
182	Mackay	NA - less than 10						NA*
191	Prairie Elem.	No LEP students						no LEP
192	Glenns Ferry	NO	Reading - 51.89% Math - 41.51%	NO	50.40%	YES	27.27%	NO
193	Mountain Home	NO	Reading - 54.44% Math - 55.36%	NO	37.20%	NO	15.79%	NO
202	West Side	NA - less than 10						NA*
215	Fremont Co.	NO	Reading - 52.67% Math - 65.33	NO	53.00%	YES	24.17%	NO
221	Emmett	NO	Reading - 60% Math - 54.55%	NO	43.80%	YES	30.53%	NO
231	Gooding	NO	Reading - 30.23% Math - 34.88%	NO	42.30%	NO	12.93%	NO
232	Wendell	NO	Reading - 66.67% Math - 65.57%	NO	43.20%	YES	22.71%	NO
233	Hagerman	YES*	less than 34	NO	48.50%	YES	27.91%	NO
234	Bliss	YES*	less than 34	NO	16.70%	YES	38.89%	NO
241	Grangeville	No LEP students						no LEP
242	Cottonwood	No LEP students						no LEP
251	Jefferson Co.	NO	Reading - 37.18% Math - 40.26%	NO	43.80%	NO	16.96%	NO
252	Ririe	No LEP students						no LEP
253	West Jefferson	NO	Reading - 53.85% Math - 55.38%	NO	48.90%	NO	26.27%	NO
261	Jerome	NO	Reading - 50.93% Math - 52.28%	NO	43.50%	YES	23.46%	NO
262	Valley	NO	Reading - 31.58% Math - 26.32%	YES	58.60%	NO	19.78%	NO
271	Coeur d'Alene	YES*	less than 34	NO	43.80%	NO	16.13%	NO
272	Lakeland	No LEP students						no LEP

2007 AMAO Determinations		AYP		Progress		Attainment		Did the district make all 3 AMAO targets for 2007?
Definition		Calculations pulled directly from LEP subgroup at the district level on the ISAT.		% of LEP students in the district who must advance one level of language proficiency on the IELA.		% of LEP students in the district who must attain proficiency on the IELA. Proficiency = Fluent overall AND EF+ (Early Fluent and above) on each sub domain.		
Targets		78% Reading 70% Math 78% Language Usage		55%		20%		
273	Post Falls	No LEP students						no LEP
274	Kootenai	No LEP students						no LEP
281	Moscow	YES*	less than 34	YES	100%	YES	55.56%	YES
282	Genesee	No LEP students						no LEP
283	Kendrick	No LEP students						no LEP
285	Potlatch	No LEP students						no LEP
287	Troy	No LEP students						no LEP
288	Whitepine	No LEP students						no LEP
291	Salmon	No LEP students						no LEP
292	South Lemhi	No LEP students						no LEP
302	Nezperce	No LEP students						no LEP
304	Kamiah	NA - less than 10						NA*
305	Highland	No LEP students						no LEP
312	Shoshone	YES*	Reading - safe harbor Math - safe harbor	NO	49.10%	NO	14.05%	NO
314	Dietrich	NA - less than 10		NO	50%	NO	10.00%	NO
316	Richfield	NA - less than 10		YES	88.90%	NO	0.00%	NO
321	Madison	NO	Reading - 61.22% Math - 68.37%	NO	37%	YES	20.47%	NO
322	Sugar-Salem	YES*	less than 34	NO	50%	NO	12.50%	NO
331	Minidoka	NO	Reading - 47.44% Math - 45.24%	NO	39.90%	NO	10.54%	NO
340	Lewiston	NA - less than 10		YES	80.00%	YES	30.00%	YES
341	Lapwai	No LEP students						no LEP
342	Culdesac	No LEP students						no LEP
351	Oneida Co.	YES*	less than 34	NO	21.40%	NO	10.53%	NO
363	Marsing	NO	Reading - 36.84% Math - 36.84%	NO	43.90%	NO	16.76%	NO
364	Pleasant Valley	No LEP students						no LEP
365	Bruneau-Grandview	NO	Reading - 33.33% Math - 35.71%	NO	46.30%	NO	14.10%	NO
370	Homedale	NO	Reading - 33.75% Math - 34.18%	NO	34.60%	NO	15.23%	NO
371	Payette	NO	Reading - 47.57% Math - 42.72%	NO	38.50%	NO	9.91%	NO
372	New Plymouth	NO	Reading - 53.33% Math - 66.67%	NO	35.30%	YES	24.64%	NO
373	Fruitland	NO	Reading - 67.39% Math - 62.05%	NO	45.50%	YES	32.98%	NO
381	American Falls	NO	Reading - 53.36% Math - 62.05%	NO	43.00%	YES	21.66%	NO
382	Rockland	No LEP students						no LEP
383	Arbon Elem.	No LEP students						no LEP
391	Kellogg	No LEP students						no LEP
392	Mullan	No LEP students						no LEP
393	Wallace	No LEP students						no LEP
394	Avery	No LEP students						no LEP
401	Teton	NO	Reading - 30.77% Math - 39.56%	NO	27.70%	NO	16.02%	NO
411	Twin Falls	NO	Reading - 40.83% Math - 56.67%	NO	48.50%	NO	15.05%	NO
412	Buhl	NO	Reading - 48.92% Math - 39.57%	NO	44.00%	YES	32.44%	NO
413	Filer	YES*	less than 34	NO	37.00%	NO	19.57%	NO
414	Kimberly	YES*	less than 34	NO	37.50%	NO	19.44%	NO
415	Hansen	NO	81.25% participation on Math and Reading	NO	28%	NO	5.13%	NO
416	Three Creek	No LEP students						no LEP
417	Castleford	NA - less than 10		NO	37.50%	YES	30.00%	NO
418	Murtaugh	YES*	less than 34	NO	9.10%	NO	13.89%	NO
421	McCall-Donnelly	YES*	less than 34	NO	28.60%	YES	10.00%	NO
422	Cascade	No LEP students						no LEP
431	Weiser	NO	Reading - 54.23% Math - 55.63%	NO	43.20%	YES	20.01%	NO
432	Cambridge	No LEP students						no LEP
433	Midvale	NA - less than 10						NA*
451	Victory Charter School	No LEP students						no LEP
452	Idaho Virtual Academy	No LEP students						no LEP
453	Richard McKenna Charter High School	No LEP students						no LEP
454	Rolling Hills Public Charter School	No LEP students						no LEP

2007 AMAO Determinations		AYP		Progress		Attainment		Did the district make all 3 AMAO targets for 2007?
Definition		Calculations pulled directly from LEP subgroup at the district level on the ISAT.		% of LEP students in the district who must advance one level of language proficiency on the IELA.	% of LEP students in the district who must attain proficiency on the IELA. Proficiency = Fluent overall AND EF+ (Early Fluent and above) on each sub domain.			
Targets		78% Reading 70% Math 78% Language Usage		55%		20%		
455	Compass Public Charter School	No LEP students						no LEP
456	Falcon Ridge Charter School	No LEP students						no LEP
457	INSPIRE Virtual Charter School	No LEP students						no LEP
458	Liberty Charter School	No LEP students						no LEP
459	Garden City Community School	NA - less than 10						NA*
596	Idaho School for the Deaf and Blind	NA - less than 10						NA*
Districts in a Consortium - These districts are reported together, as they accept Title III funds jointly. Accountability measures are also joined.								
3 and 136	Kuna and Melba	NO	Kuna Reading - 33.93% Kuna Math - 37.5% Melba Reading - 43.59% Melba Math - 51.28%	NO	46.46%	YES	20.33%	NO
134 and 135	Middleton and Notus	NO	Mid. Reading - 60% (2006 data) Mid. Math - 58.33% (2006 data) Notus Reading - 67.65% Notus Math - 55.88%	NO	39.22%	NO	18.00%	NO
25 and 201	Pocatello and Preston	NO	Poc. Reading - 48.94% Poc. Math - 57.45% Prest. Reading - 42% Prest. Math - 40%	NO	34.92%	NO	19.08%	NO
No LEP students in the district								
Title III funded district in 06-07 - information will be reported to the U.S. Department of Education for Title III funded districts only								
*NA - less than 10 LEP students. Unable to report publicly, due to FERPA regulations. Districts that had less than 10 students test on the ISAT but 10 or more students test on the IELA, will receive AMAO calculation for "progress" and "attainment".								
*YES - less than 34 students. AYP determinations are not made for district subgroups of less than 34 students. For these districts, the remaining 2 AMAOs will still be calculated.								